UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/516,709	12/02/2004	Matthew P. Nelson	3005-66	6727
8933 DUANE MOR	7590 07/11/2007 · RIS II P	EXAMINER		
DUANE MORRIS, LLP IP DEPARTMENT			AHMED, MASUD	
30 SOUTH 17' PHILADELPH	TH STREET IIA, PA 19103-4196		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3709 .	
				
		·	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/11/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		· /X				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/516,709	NELSON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Masud Ahmed	3709				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	rith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 N	MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS				
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the r earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNI R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tatute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	12/24/2003.					
-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
3) Since this application is in condition for all	owance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice und	ler <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims	•	•				
4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the applicati	on.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	•	•				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar	niner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to		•				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	rrection is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority document 	nents have been received.					
Certified copies of the priority document	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bu		•				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/8/05	6) Other:	* **				

Art Unit: 3709

DETAILED ACTION

Examiner has considered the Information Disclosure Statement submitted by the applicant on 04/08/2004.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-2, 5, and 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kazuyoshi et al (JP2002-066141). Kazuyoshi teaches a gaming device in a public network having following limitations.

Regarding claims 1 and 8, Kazuyoshi discloses a gaming machine that is connected in a public network having capability of sending and receiving emails from each individual player, thus each player are identified by their email addresses (para 0031, lines 1-3, Fig 2, 11 and para 0033, lines 1-5). Kazuyoshi further discloses email sentences get analyzed to obtain instruction information for the game (para 0035, lines 1-5); and the text information get judged or evaluated for the status of the game, thus the state of the game progress can be determined (para 0035, lines 5-9). Kazuyoshi also teaches reply back to the player with the updated information and the status of the game story (para 0040, lines 4-7), and it is understood that players are identified by the sent emails to the game machine and replies are sent to those emails as well. Even though it is well

Art Unit: 3709

known in the art, when a device updates a status of any data it has to compare the updating data to a stored data, Kazuyoshi teaches the game text analysis and the evaluation that can be interpreted as evaluating story in a game or the progress state of the game for the player.

Regarding claim 2, Kazuyoshi teaches a gaming machine that has predetermined stored words (Fig 2, 23) where the words get analyzed from the content of the email (para 0036, lines 1-30).

Regarding claim 5, Kazuyoshi discloses a gaming device having predetermined image data that can be attached to the development of the game state or story (para 0040, lines 1-5).

Regarding claim 9, Kazuyoshi teaches a gaming device where the device is a mobile phone (para 0026, line 3 and Drawing 1).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 3-4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kazuyoshi et al (JP2002-066141), in view of James et al (US 6,179,713).

Regarding claim 3, Kazuyoshi teaches a gaming device having capability of sending and receiving emails, where based on the email content comparing with pre-

Art Unit: 3709

determined server based data, a state or story of a game is determined. If a player sent text does not match with the pre-determined content on the server, which would not apply as a command or direction for the game, which would cause an error. However, Kazuyoshi failed to disclose an error message being send to the player when an error occurs. James teaches a command base network multiplayer game having a validity function of checking the command for an error and send the error message to the player (col 9, lines 1-10) Therefore it would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art to understand that unmatched text would cause and error and player would be notified in order to further progress in the game and incorporate James into Kazuyoshi to have error handling email message.

Regarding claim 4, Kazuyoshi teaches a gaming device having email functionality and a pre-determined text or object-based game. However Kazuyoshi fails to specifically disclose computing the time in between the email messages or the commands. James teaches a command base network multiplayer game that has predetermined text and the player time is computed to determine the state of the game progress (col 10, lines 35-55). Therefore it would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to modify Kazuyoshi's software to compute time in between game states.

Regarding claim 6, Kazuyoshi teaches a gaming device that has a predetermined command stored on the game server, which are compared with player text command to progress the game. However Kazuyoshi fails to teach various types of information such as words being distributed in a public network and being available to the players. James teaches a command based multiplayer network game that

Art Unit: 3709

distributes various types of player information through a public network and available to the players (col 3, lines 65-66 and col 4, lines 1-8). Therefore it would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art to modify Kazuyoshi's web server based information and incorporate James web player information available to the players.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Koichi et al (JP10328416)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Masud Ahmed whose telephone number is 571 270 1315. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 9:30am-5: 30pm, Alt Fri, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Jackson can be reached on 571 272 4697. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO

Art Unit: 3709

Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MA /WA 06/21/07

GARY JACKSON CURERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER